

# Hydrilla Management in Florida Lakes<sup>1</sup>

Ken A. Langeland, Stephen F. Enloe, and Lyn Gettys<sup>2</sup>

Hydrilla (*Hydrilla verticillata*) is the most aggressive submersed invasive plant in Florida waters. It can provide some benefits to fish and wildlife at low levels of coverage, but it also can have major detrimental impacts to water uses, causing substantial economic and environmental hardships.

## History and Habitat

Native to southeastern Asia, hydrilla was introduced to Florida in the 1950s through the aquarium trade. It has since spread throughout Florida and continues to spread in many parts of the United States. Hydrilla has become a serious weed and is found in freshwater lakes, rivers, reservoirs, ponds, canals, and ditches.

## Identification

Hydrilla grows submersed in water and is rooted to the lake bottom, although sometimes fragments break loose and survive in a free-floating state. Erect stems can grow longer than 10.6 m (35 ft) when the plant grows in deep water (Figure 1). Branching far below the surface is usually sparse, but it becomes profuse. Hydrilla's small leaves (2–4 mm [0.08–0.15 in] wide, 6–20 mm [0.24–0.79 in] long) are strap-like and pointed. They grow in whorls of four to eight (rarely three) around the stem. Leaf margins are coarsely saw-toothed, and one or more sharp teeth may be present

along the length of the midrib on the underside of the leaf (Figure 2). Often a small bump appears where the tooth has aborted. Common waterweed (Brazilian elodea, *Egeria densa*) can be misidentified as hydrilla but is uncommon in Florida. When in doubt, an expert should be consulted for identification.



Figure 1. Hydrilla (*Hydrilla verticillata*) plant with flowers.  
Credits: UF/IFAS Center for Aquatic & Invasive Plants

1. This document is SS-AGR-361, one of a series of the Agronomy Department, UF/IFAS Extension. Originally published March 2012 (<http://ufdc.ufl.edu/IR00007420/00001>) by Stacia Hetrick and Ken Langeland. Revised January 2016. Visit the EDIS website at <http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu>.
2. Ken A. Langeland, retired professor; Stephen F. Enloe, associate professor, Agronomy Department, Center for Aquatic and Invasive Plants, UF/IFAS Extension, Gainesville, FL; and Lyn Gettys, assistant professor, Agronomy Department, UF/IFAS Extension, Fort Lauderdale, FL.

All chemicals should be used in accordance with directions on the manufacturer's label. The use of trade names in this publication is solely for the purpose of providing specific information. UF/IFAS does not guarantee or warranty the products named, and references to them in this publication do not signify our approval to the exclusion of other products of suitable composition.

The Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences (IFAS) is an Equal Opportunity Institution authorized to provide research, educational information and other services only to individuals and institutions that function with non-discrimination with respect to race, creed, color, religion, age, disability, sex, sexual orientation, marital status, national origin, political opinions or affiliations. For more information on obtaining other UF/IFAS Extension publications, contact your county's UF/IFAS Extension office. U.S. Department of Agriculture, UF/IFAS Extension Service, University of Florida, IFAS, Florida A & M University Cooperative Extension Program, and Boards of County Commissioners Cooperating. Nick T. Place, dean for UF/IFAS Extension.



Figure 2. Underside of hydrilla leaf.  
Credits: UF/IFAS Center for Aquatic & Invasive Plants



Figure 3. Hydrilla turion.  
Credits: UF/IFAS Center for Aquatic & Invasive Plants

## Reproduction and Distribution

Only the female dioecious biotype of hydrilla (male and female flowers on different plants) occurs in Florida. Therefore, hydrilla does not reproduce from seed in Florida. Female flowers are borne on thread-like stalks and float on the water's surface (Figure 1). The flowers are solitary and have whitish petals and sepals, each about 4 mm (0.15 in) long. A key mode of reproduction and movement of hydrilla within and between lakes comes in the form of shoot fragments that readily take root and form new colonies. Hydrilla fragments are created by boaters, wildlife, and storms.

Hydrilla produces compact dormant buds called turions (Figure 3) in leaf axils. It also produces tubers (Figure 4) on the end of rhizomes (underground stems) and stolons (horizontal stems). Plant fragments and stems laden with mature turions can drift throughout a water body, producing new plants. Turions are 5–8 mm (0.2–0.31 in) long, dark green, and appear to be spiny. Tubers can be found throughout sediments up to 30 cm deep. They are 5–10 mm (0.2–0.39 in) long and are off-white to yellow unless they take on darker colors from organic sediments. Hydrilla can produce millions of tubers per acre from September through March. Tubers can remain dormant for several years; they are not impacted by most management activities; and a low percentage of the tubers continue to sprout throughout the year. These attributes make hydrilla management difficult and eradication nearly impossible.



Figure 4. Hydrilla tuber.  
Credits: UF/IFAS Center for Aquatic & Invasive Plants

## Impacts and Benefits

Hydrilla often causes serious environmental and economic impacts in Florida. It produces dense canopies that can cover the entire surface of a water body and reduce plant diversity by shading out native submersed plants. Dense canopies also provide ideal breeding environments for mosquitoes. Hydrilla may also reduce dissolved oxygen concentrations and increase fluctuations in pH and surface temperatures of the infested water body. Dense infestations can greatly impact water uses by restricting navigation and recreational activities such as boating, swimming, and fishing (Figure 5). Tourism, property values, native plant communities, water quality, fisheries, and flood control can also be negatively affected by dense hydrilla infestations.

In the absence of structure normally provided by a diverse underwater plant community, hydrilla can provide habitat and food resources for fish and wildlife and has been associated with high-quality largemouth bass populations. Low hydrilla cover also provides quality nursery habitat for juvenile fish. However, high hydrilla cover can reduce fishing because it can pose difficulties for angler access and cause fish growth rates to decline. It also represents a serious risk to fish populations because of low oxygen and potential fish kills.



Figure 5. Hydrilla infestation on an 8–10 foot deep Lake Tohopekaliga in central Florida.  
Credits: Stacia Hetrick, former UF/IFAS Osceola County Extension

Some aquatic birds and waterfowl use hydrilla as a food resource. Some migratory ducks and coots feed on hydrilla while over-wintering in Florida, and many duck hunters target areas where hydrilla occurs. Alternatively, some bird species that swim through the water to forage, such as anhinga and double-crested cormorants, tend to be less abundant in areas with large amounts of hydrilla.

While hydrilla may have some recognized benefits to fish and wildlife, maintaining low to intermediate coverage that does not impact other uses of the water body is often difficult in most aquatic systems.

## Management Responsibility

The Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FWC) is designated by the Florida Legislature as the lead agency responsible for coordinating and funding invasive plant management programs on public waterways in Florida. This authority is carried out under the FWC's Invasive Plant Management Section (IPMS) through the

Aquatic Plant Management Program. The IPMS contracts with public agencies and private companies to manage plants in public water bodies, but other government authorities or property owners are responsible for plant management in canals, private waters, and sovereign waters that do not have public access.

## Purpose

Management of hydrilla is conducted for many reasons, depending on the desired uses of the water body. For example, hydrilla is managed on many public lakes to maintain navigation and flood control and to enhance native emergent and submersed plants (such as pondweed and eelgrass). Experimental and observational data collected over the last fifty years indicate that hydrilla rarely remains static and often expands and interferes with many lake uses if not managed.

## Planning

In 2011, the FWC published the agency position on hydrilla management, which states that the FWC will determine the level of hydrilla management on each public water body using a risk-based analysis that considers human safety issues, economic concerns, budgetary constraints, fish and wildlife values, and recreational use, with input from resource management partners and local stakeholders.

Hydrilla management plans are developed each year for public water bodies. Government contractors and FWC biologists draft management strategies that are reviewed by local, state, and federal agency personnel and other stakeholders who have expressed interest in invasive plant management in public waters. Reviewers then meet to establish management plans, priorities, and budgets for the ensuing year.

For aquatic plant management on the Kissimmee Chain of Lakes, a small interagency group was formed in the 1980s to aid communications between the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (formerly Florida Game and Freshwater Fish Commission), the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (formerly Florida Department of Natural Resources), the South Florida Water Management District, and the US Army Corps of Engineers. More than 25 years later, this group still exists—although the membership roster has increased to nearly 100 individuals from city, county, state, and federal agencies in addition to hunting and angling organizations, state universities, and a variety of citizen groups. Even though FWC is the lead entity for aquatic plant management, the creation of a



Kissimmee Chain of Lakes aquatic plant management plan involves input from all of these stakeholder groups.

## Herbicide Stewardship

Throughout the late 1980s and 1990s, the herbicide fluridone was extensively used to manage hydrilla in Florida waters. Fluridone displayed very high efficacy, selectivity, and low toxicity to non-target organisms. However, in 2000, researchers at several institutions, including the University of Florida, verified what aquatic plant managers had suspected for several years: Hydrilla was developing resistance to fluridone in waters that had received repeated applications of this herbicide's active ingredient. Research revealed that several populations of hydrilla, particularly in large central Florida lakes, were resistant to low fluridone concentrations. High doses of fluridone will still control resistant hydrilla, but these higher concentrations affect non-target aquatic plants and significantly increase the cost of control. Researchers agree that the best strategy for resistance management is the development of multiple tools that can be used on a rotational basis. This approach has been used successfully in agricultural weed management throughout the world.



Figure 6. An application of aquatic herbicide using an airboat with trailing hoses for treating hydrilla. Note: Filamentous algae is on the water's surface and hydrilla is below the surface.

Credits: UF/IFAS Center for Aquatic & Invasive Plants

## Herbicides

Herbicides are a type of pesticide made specifically to kill or inhibit the growth of plants. All pesticides must be registered for their specific use by the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and they must be approved by the Florida Department of Agricultural and Consumer Services (FDACS) before they can be used in Florida. Aquatic herbicides are a type of pesticide that has been

approved by EPA to manage plant growth in aquatic systems. The label associated with each product is a legal document that describes the approved target plants, sites of application, application rates, and any restrictions on the use of water following treatment. Herbicide application techniques include helicopter, fixed-wing aircraft, boat, truck, or backpack sprayer, and they are selected based on various factors including accessibility, the size of the target treatment area, and management goals (Figure 6).

There are several herbicides used to manage hydrilla in Florida lakes. They can be classified in several ways but are separated here into contact and systemic treatments for the sake of simplicity. Contact treatments are quickly absorbed and fast-acting, and they result in very noticeable control within a few days after treatment. These include the active ingredients endothall, diquat, copper, and flumioxazin. The systemic herbicides used for hydrilla control inhibit enzyme activity and are very slow-acting. They often require a long period of contact in the water and are best for whole lake treatments where dilution can be minimized. They include the active ingredients fluridone, penoxsulam, imazamox, bispyribac-sodium, and topramezone.

## CONTACT HERBICIDES

Endothall-containing products are now widely used for hydrilla management in Florida. Endothall is a contact herbicide and requires only 12–72 hours exposure time (depending on concentration of the herbicide in the water) to be effective. Traditional use patterns of endothall included small-scale applications for access, navigation, and treatment of new hydrilla infestations. As fluridone-resistant hydrilla became more prevalent, the switch to large-scale endothall applications was evaluated. Researchers have determined that the most effective time of year to implement large-scale hydrilla control with endothall is in late fall to early spring when water temperatures are cooler and the microbes (bacteria) that break down endothall are less active. When treating cool water, endothall breaks down at a slower rate, resulting in increased exposure of the target plants. The cooler water in the winter contains more dissolved oxygen compared to warmer water in the summer and early fall months, and the slower recovery rate of the plants allows for more effective control. Additionally, hydrilla produces tubers in the fall, so stressing the plants with herbicide during this time has the potential to decrease the amount of tuber production.

Diquat is a fast-acting contact herbicide that can be used alone but is typically used in combination with other herbicides to manage hydrilla. Diquat is generally considered

non-selective, but recent research has found submersed vegetation tolerance to Diquat varies considerably by species. Diquat effectiveness is also inhibited by muddy or turbid waters as the chemical rapidly binds to suspended sediments in the water column. Unfortunately, there is not a good metric regarding water clarity and Diquat use.

Flumioxazin is a fast-acting contact herbicide recently registered for use in aquatic systems in Florida. Flumioxazin is very effective on several floating plants and filamentous algae and is often tank mixed with other herbicides for emergent plant control. However, it can also be injected into the water column for hydrilla control. Flumioxazin persistence is pH dependent. It degrades rapidly above a pH of 8.0 to 8.5. Optimal treatment for hydrilla occurs in the early morning, when pH is lower, and during the late winter, when hydrilla biomass is lower. Flumioxazin applied to dense infestations of hydrilla may not provide effective control.

The active ingredient copper can be used to control hydrilla. That said, FWC permits the use of copper herbicides in public waters only when no alternative management options are available due to concerns over accumulation of this naturally occurring element in lake sediments.

## SYSTEMIC HERBICIDES

During the late 1980s and 1990s, fluridone was the most widely used herbicide for large-scale hydrilla management in Florida public waters. However, due to the evolution of fluridone-resistant hydrilla, the use of the herbicide has greatly diminished. Fluridone is usually applied as a whole-lake treatment. Fluridone is slow-acting and can provide control of hydrilla with little or no damage to other plants in the treatment area (selective). For fluridone to be effective, hydrilla must be exposed to it for a period of 45–80 days. For comparison, required exposure to endothall is only 12–36 hours. To maintain this exposure time, sequential fluridone treatments, often called “bumps,” are usually applied over a period of time to ensure that a lethal concentration of the herbicide is maintained in the water column. Fluridone is still used for managing hydrilla in lakes that contain susceptible hydrilla populations. However, it is not effective in many areas where fluridone-resistant populations now occur.

Penoxsulam was registered in 2007, and it is often used in lakes where fluridone-resistant hydrilla occurs. Like fluridone, it is a slow-acting, systemic herbicide that requires a long exposure time (90–120 days) for optimum

performance. Additional bump treatments may be necessary.

Bispyribac-sodium was registered in 2012 for use in aquatic systems in Florida. It is a slow-acting enzyme inhibitor that requires a long exposure time and is very effective as whole lake treatment. The use patterns are somewhat similar to those of penoxsulam.

Imazamox is a very slow-acting enzyme inhibitor that is widely used for several emergent species but has been shown to provide some control and growth regulation of hydrilla. Applications of 50–75 ppb may suppress hydrilla growth for up to 12 weeks. That said, Imazamox is not widely used for hydrilla control because other more effective options are available.

Topramezone is an herbicide active ingredient registered for use in aquatic systems in Florida that assists in submersed weed control. It is slow-acting and requires a long contact time (greater than 45 days). Similar to fluridone, topramezone causes tissue bleaching in susceptible species. However, its mode of action is different from that of fluridone. It can be used in areas where fluridone resistance occurs.

## HERBICIDE COMBINATIONS

Several resource managers rely on combinations of registered herbicides to control hydrilla. Some of the most commonly used combinations have included endothall and diquat or endothall and penoxsulam. Both of these combinations have been evaluated under large-scale field conditions. Combination treatments can help reduce reliance on a single strategy. There are several combination concepts that are still under evaluation at a small-scale level.

## Biological Control

Biological control refers to the purposeful introduction of natural enemies (i.e., insects, fish, or diseases) by scientists and environmental managers as a means to weaken and suppress invading plants. The introduction of foreign biological control agents is strictly monitored by the US Department of Agriculture’s Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) and the Technical Advisory Group for Biological Control Agents of Weeds (TAG) and reviewed by the Department of Interior.

To date, the grass carp is the only effective biological control agent used to manage submersed aquatic vegetation like hydrilla (Figure 7). In Florida, a permit is required by law for use and possession of grass carp, and only sterile,



triploid grass carp can be used. Hydrilla control with grass carp is cost-effective, but this technique offers limited plant selectivity. The results of grass carp stocking are somewhat unpredictable, and there can be a risk of complete removal of all submersed vegetation if water bodies are heavily stocked. Grass carp often are used in ponds and small lakes where they can be contained but are typically not used for open systems such as Florida's large (>~ 1000 acres) public lakes. The life span of grass carp can exceed 15 years, and once they are introduced, they are difficult to remove. Biological control with host-specific insects has produced little success to date. Since 1987, four insect biological control agents have been intentionally released in the United States to control hydrilla. Of these insects, only the Asian hydrilla leaf mining fly is widely established and is commonly associated with hydrilla. The larvae of these insects are capable of destroying the leaves of the plant and reducing photosynthesis, but this insect has not had a significant impact on hydrilla populations. Thus, the presence of the fly has little bearing on hydrilla management decisions.



Figure 7. Grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella* Val.).  
Credits: UF/IFAS Center for Aquatic & Invasive Plants

## Mechanical Control

Mechanical control refers to the use of machinery designed to cut, shear, shred, crush, press, lift, convey, transport, and remove aquatic plants and associated organic material from water bodies. Specially designed machines called mechanical harvesters or aquatic plant harvesters are used to physically remove hydrilla. These machines cut the stems of the plants, convey them to a holding area on the harvester, and then transport the plant material to additional equipment on the shore for disposal (Figure 8). Mechanical removal is mainly used for hydrilla management when hydrilla is close to domestic water supply intakes, in rapidly flowing water, or if immediate removal is necessary. Using mechanical control of hydrilla on large lakes without the use of herbicides or other control methods has not been

feasible because of the high cost, its short-term effects, logistical constraints, and other considerations.



Figure 8. Mechanical harvester in the process of harvesting hydrilla.  
Credits: Dean Jones, UF/IFAS Osceola County Extension

## Research

The FWC Invasive Plant Management Section (IPMS), the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the US Army Engineer Research and Development Center (ERDC), and the US Department of Agriculture (USDA) are examples of agencies that are currently funding research relating to hydrilla. Much of the research focuses on chemical and biological control, but some also focuses on mechanical control, habitat quality, and hydrilla growth. Because hydrilla has shown the ability to develop herbicide-resistant plant populations, much research is focusing on evaluating new and existing herbicides and combinations of herbicides for controlling hydrilla.



Figure 9. Adult male hydrilla miner, *Cricotopus lebetis*.  
Credits: Jerry Butler, UF/IFAS Entomology & Nematology

Researchers from the University of Florida and the US Army ERDC are evaluating new and currently registered herbicides as well as new technology processes or practices for the management of hydrilla. Specific research projects focus on evaluating new herbicides or combinations of herbicides for activity against hydrilla. Newly registered herbicides or new concepts for using herbicides to target hydrilla are typically evaluated in small waterbodies and then moved to larger scale treatments if the results warrant.



Figure 10. Damage to hydrilla tip from the larva of the hydrilla miner. Credits: Jerry Butler, UF/IFAS Entomology & Nematology

Researchers continue to seek possible biological control agents such as insects and pathogens in hydrilla's native range. Current research also is focusing on evaluating a naturalized midge known as the hydrilla miner, *Cricotopus lebetis* (Figure 9). Larvae of the hydrilla miner develop in the growing tips of hydrilla, which causes branching of the plants and stunts the growth of hydrilla (Figure 10). Studies are being conducted to investigate temperature tolerances and host range of the insect. Researchers are evaluating the compatibility of the hydrilla miner with the fungal pathogen *Mycleptodiscus terrestris* (Mt) and the herbicide imazamox.

Studies also are focusing on the feasibility of incorporating mechanical harvesting into large-scale management operations by further examining the potential issues related to mechanical harvesting, such as cost-effectiveness, use patterns, efficiency, and fish by-catch.